



253216

**MICHIGAN SUPERFUND SITE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT
PROGRESS REPORT
FEDERAL LEAD SITE
LUMP SUM MSCA**

Reporting Period: FY98, Q3 & Q4

**Site Name: Hi-Mill Manufacturing Co., Inc.(9Q)
Activity: PRP Oversight (Monitoring)**

**MSCA #: V995258-01
Budget Period: 9/30/00**

**WORK ACCOMPLISHED DURING REPORTING PERIOD FOR THIS SITE AND ACTIVITY:
(PROBLEMS AND DELAYS ENCOUNTERED THIS PERIOD, CORRECTIVE MEASURES
TAKEN OR PLANNED, IDENTIFY BY TASK)**

TASK A: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

During this reporting period the January, April and July 1998 Operation and Maintenance Reports (O & M) were received in the ERD Superfund office. Two copies of each report are submitted. One copy of each report is forwarded to the site geologist for review. The SPM reviewed each of the reports and provided the RPM with comments and thoughts on points of concern related to the site both electronically and via telephone during this reporting period. EPA's oversight contractor, Tetra Tech EM Inc. prepared Technical Comments for the EPA on both the January 1998 and the April 1998 O & M Reports. Comment letters prepared by the RPM and reviewed by the SPM were sent to Conestoga Rovers & Associates (CRA), Hi-Mill's consultant. CRA's responses were reviewed by the SPM as well.

Also received and reviewed this period was the revised Annual Monitoring report for August 1996-July 1997. The EPA sent a comment letter to CRA on the original Annual report and CRA revised it and provided a response letter which were received during this reporting period.

TASK B: COMMUNITY RELATIONS

In May the ERD received several telephone inquiries in response to an article that appeared in an Oakland County newspaper regarding sites of environmental contamination in the county. Hi-Mill was among sites identified and this raised concerns from some citizens. The SPM discussed the status of the Hi-Mill site and answered site-related questions.

The ERD received an inquiry during this reporting period from a consultant representing another prospective purchaser of the Hi-Mill plant. The ERD responded to information inquiries during several telephone discussions. As a point of information, no follow up contact has been received from this prospective buyer since August.

TASK C: INTERAGENCY LIAISON

No activity this reporting period.

TASK D: ADMINISTRATION

Reviewed monthly financial reporting documents, maintained files and prepared Progress report.

Multi-Site Cooperative Agreement V995258-01 Hi-Mill Manufacturing Co., Inc.

FINANCIAL REPORTING BY TASK:

	<u>TASK A</u>	<u>TASK B</u>	<u>TASK C</u>	<u>TASK D</u>
EST. EXP. PRIOR TO THIS REPORTING PERIOD:	\$12,625	\$1,314	\$-0-	\$2,812
EST. EXP. THIS REPORTING PERIOD	\$568	\$250	\$-0-	\$100
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES TO DATE:	\$13,193	\$1,564	\$-0-	\$2,912

FINANCIAL REPORTING:


TOTAL FUNDING FOR THIS ACTIVITY:	\$25,000
EST. EXP. PRIOR TO THIS REPORTING PERIOD:	\$16,751
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES THIS REPORTING PERIOD:	\$918
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES TO DATE:	\$17,669
TIME AND FUNDS REMAINING (BALANCE): 9 months	\$7,331

ESTIMATED TIME & FUNDS NEEDED TO COMPLETE REQUIRED WORK: At least 84 months and \$27,000. Long term monitoring is expected to continue into the foreseeable future. Additional funding for state participation in tracking and monitoring the long-term sampling will be necessary at some point.

EXPLANATION OF ANY SIGNIFICANT DISCREPANCY/JUSTIFICATION:

The estimated expenditures this reporting period includes adjustments to Q1 and Q2 expenditures to reflect the states approved indirect rate.

Prepared by:  Date: October 29, 1998
Deborah Larsen, (Project Manager)

Approved by:  Date: 11/10/98
Mitchell Adelman, (Supervisor)